

Evaluation of Second Year Research Report

Student: \_\_\_\_\_ Committee: \_\_\_\_\_

Title:

**Please return all evaluations to Kristi Heming after defense.**

Item		No	Partly	Yes
1	Is the paper clearly organized?			
2	Does the paper follow standard journal practices?			
3	Is the context of the research clearly laid out in the Introduction?			
4	Is the extent of citations provided adequate and comprehensive?			
5	Are the goals of the research stated in the introduction?			
6	Does the Introduction provide an overview of the paper contents?			
7	Are the experimental procedures clear?			
8	Is a journal-quality level of characterization of compounds provided?			
9	Is the use of graphics elements (figures, equations, schemes) appropriate?			
10	Is the quality of graphics elements adequate?			
11	Is the paper burdened with too many trivial results?			
12	Is the quality of the research at a Ph.D. level?			
13	Are conclusions clear and justified?			
14	Does the paper make a clear case for future thesis research?			
15	Is the grammar up to JACS standards?			
16	Is the overall presentation at PhD level?			

(Over)

Detailed Comments:

<u>Item #</u>	<u>Comment</u>
---------------	----------------

---